

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and/Frademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bo. 1450 Alexandia/Virgina 22313-1450 www.uspfo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,529	04/02/2004	Merrit Heminway	2004P88067 US	5787
28524 7.	8524 7590 06/19/2006		EXAMINER	
SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			KOSOWSKI, ALEXANDER J	
170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/817,529	HEMINWAY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alexander J. Kosowski	2125			
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ap</u>	oril 2004.	•			
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[
- / _	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)[5	Claim(s) <u>1-78</u> is/are pending in the application.		•			
٠/٤	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5\ 	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7)[_					
-	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to: ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-78</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement				
⊿رن	S Claim(s) 1-70 are subject to restriction and/or e	siection requirement.				
Applic	ation Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[2	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)[11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachm	• •	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inf	stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/817,529 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-52, 56-72 and 77, drawn to controlling a recipe utilizing parallel and sequential operations, classified in class 700, subclass 100.
- II. Claims 53-55 and 73-75, drawn to methods of monitoring process flows, classified in class 700, subclass 87.
- III. Claim 76, drawn to tables containing process information, classified in class 700, subclass 17.
- IV. Claim 78, drawn to a user interface for editing recipes, classified in class 700, subclass 180.
- 2) The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II, I and III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as automating a generic unit operation, subcombination III has separate utility such as storing recipe data, and subcombination IV has separate utility such as manually editing a recipe. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

3) Due to the complexity of this restriction, no attempt was made at an oral restriction.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

4) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander J Kosowski whose telephone number is 571-272-3744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. In addition, the examiner's RightFAX number is 571-273-3744.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,529 Page 4

Art Unit: 2125

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Church Suell

Alexander J. Kosowski Patent Examiner Art Unit 2125